

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/651,130	08/28/2003	Brian C. Shoemaker	4088-P03270US	8187
110 759	0 05/16/2005		EXAM	INER
DANN, DORF	MAN, HERRELL &	& SKILLMAN	KASTLER,	SCOTT R
SUITE 2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			1742	

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/651,130	SHOEMAKER, BRIAN C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott Kastler	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		·				
•	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo						
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 August 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/16/2004. 		mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

4

Application/Control Number: 10/651,130

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5 and 7-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Moller'991. Moller'991, in the embodiments of figures 1-3 for example, teaches a check valve (21) including a flap (31) fitting within a chamber formed in the valve body, where the flap, valve assembly and the shaft (33) can be made of a refractory material such as molybdenum or graphite (see col. 3 lines 50-65 for example) where the valve is employed on a cooling gas nozzle in the hot zone of a vacuum heat treating furnace (as shown in the embodiment of figure 1), thereby showing all aspects of the above claims.

Claims 1-5 and 7-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moller'566. Moller'566, in the embodiments of figures 1-4 for example, teaches a check valve (21) including a flap (31) fitting within a chamber formed in the valve body, where the flap, valve assembly and the shaft (33) can be made of a refractory material such as molybdenum or graphite (see col. 5 lines 50-63 for example) where the valve is employed on a cooling gas nozzle in the hot zone of a vacuum heat treating furnace (as shown in the embodiment of figure 1), thereby showing all aspects of the above claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,130

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Moller'566 or Moller'991. As applied to claim 1 above, each of Moller'566 and Moller'911 show all aspects of the above claims except the dimensioning of the flap (31) so that it fits entirely within the chamber of the valve (the flaps of each of Moller'566 and Moller'991 extend somewhat outwardly of the chamber when the valve is in the open position) however, the flaps of each of Moller'566 and Moller'991 operate in substantially the same manner with substantially the same stated results as the flaps of the instant claims. It has been well settled that where a claimed component is shown by the prior art, and operates in substantially the same manner with substantially the same results, motivation to alter the size, shape or proportions of the component to any equally useful size or proportion would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. See MPEP 2144.04 IV A and B. In the instant case, since the flaps of each of Moller'566 and Moller'991 operate in substantially the same manner with substantially the same stated results, motivation to alter the size, proportions or configuration of the flaps of either of Moller'991 or Moller'556 to any other equally useful shape, size or proportion, including one that would fit entirely within the chamber of valve (21) when the valve is in the open position, would have been a modification obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1742

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (571) 272-1243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Kastler Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742